Docket No.: 0505-1278P Art Unit 3611

Page 11 of 13 pages

REMARKS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claim 4 was previously cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the

subject matter contained therein. Claims 1-3 and 5-21 are pending. Claims 1, 16, and 21 are

amended. Claims 1, 16, and 21 are independent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to

reconsider the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner states that claims 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

In response, none of objected-to claims 17-20 has been rewritten in independent form at

this time. Instead, each of independent claims 1, 16, and 21 has been amended to set forth novel

subject matter not taught or suggested by the references cited by the Examiner.

Accordingly, it is believed that each of independent claims 1, 16, and 21 is in condition

for allowance.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and § 103(a)

Claims 1, 2, 5-12, 14, 16, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being

anticipated by Iimuro (U.S. 6,679,347). Further, claim 3, 13, and 15 stand rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iimuro in view of Nagashi (U.S. 6,502,658). These

rejections are respectfully traversed.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No. 10/803,961 Amendment dated August 17, 2006

Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2006

Docket No.: 0505-1278P Art Unit 3611

Page 12 of 13 pages

Complete discussions of the Examiner's rejections are set forth in the Office Action, and

are not being repeated here.

Arguments Regarding Independent Claims 1, 16, and 21

As noted above, each of independent claims 1, 16, and 21 has been amended herein to

recite a combination of elements, including inter alia

wherein the inner wall and the outer wall of each of the pipe members join each other

at an upper-most edge, the upper-most edge of each of the pipe members being curved in an

upwardly curved convex shape when viewed from a side view.

See FIGS. 1 and 2 for support.

By contrast, as can be seen in Iimuro FIGS. 1-4, this document merely discloses

upper-most edges of pipe members that are convex outwardly when viewed from the above,

but are NOT convex upwardly when viewed from the side. When viewed from the side, the

pipe members of Iimuro are straight, NOT convex upwardly.

Thus, the Iimuro device is a completely different configuration from that of the

present invention.

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of elements as set forth in each of

independent claims 1, 16, and 21 is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record,

including Iimuro, at least for the reason explained above.

Therefore, independent claims 1, 16, and 21 are in condition for allowance.

All dependent claims are in condition for allowance due to their dependency from

allowable independent claims, or due to the additional novel features set forth therein.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2006

Docket No.: 0505-1278P Art Unit 3611

Page 13 of 13 pages

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

and §103(a) are respectfully requested.

All claims of the present application are now in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and that the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786) at

(703) 205-8000.

If necessary, the commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to deposit account no. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 c.f.r. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly extension of time fees.

Dated: August 17, 2006

JMS/CTT/kr/gf

Respectfully submitted,

James/M. Slattery

Registration No.: 28,380

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant